

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/712,121	11/13/2003	Andrew Paul Burgess	52743-012004	52743-012004 7680	
7590 03/31/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER .	
Margaret M. Duncan McDermott, Will & Emery 227 West Monroe Chicago, IL 60606-5096			STERLING, AMY JO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3632		
		DATE MAILED: 03/31/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_
\sim
_

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
V	Office Action Summers	10/712,121	BURGESS, ANDREW PAUL				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Amy J. Sterling	3632				
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Faile Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION INSIGN of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a representation of the provision	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07	January 2005.	•				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) The	nis action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	·	· Expante Quayre, 1000 O.B. 11, -	700 0.0. 210.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1,3,6-14 and 18-22</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1,3,6-14 and 18-22 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
′=							
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	ror election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.	•				
10)⊠							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	on priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1196	a)-(d) or (f)				
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	gri priority undoi oo o.o.o.g. 110(۵٫ (۵٫ ۵۰ (۱۰).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		ition No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been receive	ved in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not receive	ved.				
Attachmer 1) ☐ Noti	` '	A) \[\begin{aligned} a	(PTO 442)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date				
3) 🔲 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0er No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

This is the **Final Office Action** for application number 10/712,121 Deck Mounting Bracket, filed on 11/13/03. Claims 1, 3, 6-14, 18-22 are pending. This **Final Office Action** is in response to applicant's reply dated 1/7/05. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 19 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 19 recites the limitation "the connection". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 21, the phrase "or any other structural material" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (any structural material), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,121 Page 3

Art Unit: 3632

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 3, 6-9, 11, 13, 14, 20 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 4641474 to Cannarsa.

The patent to Cannarsa discloses a metal mounting bracket (See Col. 1 line 26 for material selection) which is affixed to a side surface of a joist (42) and a bottom surface of a plank (46), the bracket having a planar, solid separating flange portion (14), a joist-fastening portion (18) connected approximately perpendicular to the separating flange, at an angle of approximately 90° and has a hole and fastener (56) for fastening the mounting bracket to the joist, a support flange (16) connected to the joist-fastening portion (18) and extends away from the joist-fastening portion at a an approximate 35 degree angle, which also has an aligned hole therein corresponding to the hole in the joist-fastening portion (18), and a plank-fastening portion (12) connected to the support flange with a hole therein and a fastener (54) for fastening the mounting bracket to the plank. Cannarsa discloses wherein the separating flange portion (14) and the plank-fastening portion (12) are substantially co-planar and a curved spacer (apex of device) portion between the plank-securing flange portion and the support flange portion.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by United States Patent No. 4965980 to Leavens.

The patent to Leavens teaches the method of securing a plank to a joist (96) which has the steps of, providing a mounting bracket and attaching the mounting

Art Unit: 3632

bracket to the joist (90) by passing the elongated fastening portion of the fastener through the joist fastening hole (44) such that the joist fastening portion is secured between the joist and the flange portion (22) and attaching the mounting bracket to a plank (96) by passing the elongated fastening portion of the fastener (80) though the plank fastening hole (38) such that the plank fastening flange is secured between the bottom surface of the plank and the flange portion of the fastener.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 12, 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over United States Patent No. 4641474 to Cannarsa as applied to claim 8 above, and in view of United States Patent No. 5775048 to Orchard.

Cannarsa discloses applicant's basic inventive concept, all the elements which are shown above with the exception that it does not teach wherein the fastener is a nail, screw or bolt and that at the connection between the joist fastening flange portion, the support flange portion there are weep holes provided.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,121 Page 5

Art Unit: 3632

Orchard shows a bracket that which has a joist fastening flange portion (area above 11) and a support flange portion (29) which has a screw for a fastener (31), used for a more secure attachment of the device and a also has weep holes (27) at an connection for letting water drain from the bracket. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made from the teachings of Orchard to add this feature to the bracket of Cannarsa in order to more firmly attach the device to the desired surface and to let water drain from the device.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that the reference to Cannarsa is used for fastening a wall board and that the present application is for securing a joint to a deck surface, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,121 Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Amy J. Sterling at telephone number 703-308-3271 which will be changed to 571-272-6823 as of 4/8/05). The examiner can normally be reached (M-F 8 a.m.-5:00 p.m.). If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie Braun can be reached at 703-308-2156 which will be changed to 571-272-6815 as of 4/8/05. The fax machine number for the Technology center is 703-872-9306 (formal amendments) or 703-308-3519 (informal amendments/ communications). Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Technology Center receptionist at 703-308-2168.

AJS Amy J. Sterling 3/17/05

RAMON O. RAMIREZ PRIMARY EXAMINER